

The Relationship Between Sleep Quality And Happiness Among Preclinical Students In A Medical School

Kavimitha A/P G.Krishnasamy¹, Saila Dharishinee A/P Alagu¹, Pretha A/P Mohan¹, Jeslyn Tan Guan Qian¹, Elayevenee A/P Elanthevan¹, Rusdiah Ruzanna Jusoh^{2*}, Muhammad Zulfiqah Sadikan³, Haryati Ahmad Hairi²

Corresponding author email id: rusdiah.jusoh@manipal.edu.my

Keywords: Sleep, sleep quality, happiness, preclinical, medical students

ABSTRACT

Sleep quality significantly impacts both mental and physical well-being. Sleep disorders are prevalent, especially among medical students, who often overlook the importance of good sleep. This study aimed to examine sleep quality, assess happiness levels, and explore the relationship between sleep quality and happiness among preclinical students at MUCM. A cross-sectional study was conducted with preclinical students from MUCM. Ethical approval was obtained (Ref: 071/2022). An online questionnaire was distributed, covering demographic data, sleep quality (measured using the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index), and happiness (assessed using the Oxford Happiness Questionnaire). Data were analyzed using Microsoft Excel and SPSS, with multiple linear regression used to evaluate the relationship between sleep quality and happiness. A sample of 305 participants, comprising 66.6% females and 33.4% males, participated in the study. Sleep latency analysis revealed that 25.9% of students fell asleep within 30-45 minutes, while 6.2% took 5-6 hours. Most students (55.4%) rated their sleep as fairly good, and 43.3% slept 6-7 hours per night. A significant majority (81.3%) had high sleep efficiency (>85%). The study found that 66.6% experienced minor sleep disturbances. The happiness score revealed that 53% of participants were moderately happy, with family playing a crucial role in their happiness. A significant association was found between subjective sleep quality, daytime dysfunction, and happiness. Preclinical students with better sleep

¹ Faculty of Medicine, Manipal University College Malaysia (MUCM), Jalan Padang Jambu, Bukit Baru 75150, Malacca, Malaysia

² Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Manipal University College Malaysia (MUCM), Jalan Padang Jambu, Bukit Baru 75150, Malacca, Malaysia

³ Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Medicine, Manipal University College Malaysia (MUCM), Jalan Padang Jambu, Bukit Baru 75150, Malacca, Malaysia

reported higher happiness levels. Interventions to improve sleep quality, such as counselling sessions, may enhance student well-being and academic performance.

INTRODUCTION

The shorter you sleep, the shorter your life [1]. Sleep quality holds an extremely significant role for everyone in their daily life [2]. Sleep is a basic need for a human being to continue their daily activities effectively not only mentally but also physically [3]. Sleeping disorders are a very common health issue in this era of globalization, especially among medical students [4]. The lack of awareness of the importance of sleep is very detrimental to one's health and can lead to long-term side effects and undesirable consequences [5]. The objectives of this study include studying sleep quality, determining the happiness level and determining the relationship between sleep quality and happiness among preclinical students at MUCM.

METHODOLOGY

Study Population

Preclinical students from MUCM participated in this cross-sectional study.

Ethical Approval

Before data collection, ethical approval was obtained from the Research Ethics Committee (Ref: 071/2022) at MUCM to safeguard the rights, safety, dignity, and well-being of the research participants. Consent forms were also provided to participants along with the questionnaire.

Questionnaire

An online questionnaire was structured which consisted of three sections; section A regarding the demographic data, section B about the sleep quality assessment, and section C that evaluates the happiness of students. The questionnaires were validated (by three lecturers from the faculty) and pre-tested (answered by foundation students) before being sent out to the participants. Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) and Oxford Happiness Questionnaire (OHQ) were used as references for this research. These questionnaires are standard and widely used to assess the quality of sleep and happiness. PSQI can be used as an efficient tool to measure the quality of sleep by assessing subjective sleep quality, sleep latency, sleep duration, sleep efficiency, sleep disturbances, sleeping medication and daytime dysfunction [6, 7]. Each of the sleep components yields

a score ranging from 0 to 3 with 3 indicating the greatest dysfunction. OHQ contains 29 questions. Each question consists of four choices, depicting the amount of happiness as unhappy, mildly depressed, low level of happiness and high level of happiness.

Sample size calculation

The sample size can be calculated by using the formula developed by Krejcie and Morgan [8]. The total number of clinical year dental students from Malaysian private universities is (N =) is incorporated into the formula below to find out the required sample size for this research. $S = \frac{X^2 NP(1 - P)}{d^2 (N - 1) + X^2 P(1 - P)}$

Method of study

Data were analysed using Microsoft Excel and SPSS. Multiple linear regression was used to measure the association between sleep quality and happiness score.

RESULTS

Demographic Data

For this research project, a sample size of 305 participants was obtained. Based on the sample size, the percentage of female participants outweighs the male participants with 66.6 % female participants and 33.4% male participants. The largest percentage of participants in this research, 41%, were first-year MBBS students, followed by first-year BDS students, second-year MBBS and second-year BDS students in MUCM. As for nationality, the participants comprised 76.7% Malaysians and 23.3% Non-Malaysians.

Sleep Latency

Table 1. Percentage of sleep latency among preclinical students at MUCM (n = 305).

Sleep latency					
		Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	79	25.9	25.9	25.9
	1-2	61	20.0	20.0	45.9
	3-4	50	16.4	16.4	62.3
	5-6	56	18.4	18.4	80.7
	4	21	6.9	6.9	87.5
	5	19	6.2	6.2	93.8
	6	19	6.2	6.2	100.0
	Total	305	100.0	100.0	

Sleep latency is the amount of time taken for an individual to fall asleep after turning the lights out [9]. The analysis of this research project presents that 25.9% of participants have a sleep latency score of 0 hours which shows the vast majority of participants can fall asleep between 30 to 45 minutes after lights have been turned out while 6.2% of participants have sleep latency scores of 5 and 6 hours. This indicates a minor percentage of participants require 5 and 6 hours to be able to fall asleep after the lights have been turned out.

Subjective Sleep Quality

Table 2. Percentage of subjective sleep quality among preclinical students at MUCM (n = 305).

Subjective sleep quality					
		Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very good	38	12.5	12.5	12.5
	Fairly good	169	55.4	55.4	67.9
	Fairly bad	77	25.2	25.2	93.1
	Very bad	21	6.9	6.9	100.0
	Total	305	100.0	100.0	

Subjective sleep quality refers to the overall quality of sleep acquired by participants. Based on data analysis, it indicates that 55.4% of participants have fairly good sleep quality whereas 6.9% of participants have very bad sleep quality. This outcome is a result of students frequently using electronic devices for study purposes, which serves as an easy distraction and makes it more difficult to get a good night's sleep.

Sleep Duration

Table 3. Percentage of sleep duration among preclinical students at MUCM (n = 305).

Sleep duration					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	> 7 hours	26	8.5	8.5	8.5
	6-7 hours	132	43.3	43.3	51.8
	5-6 hours	99	32.5	32.5	84.3
	< 5 hours	48	15.7	15.7	100.0
	Total	305	100.0	100.0	

In terms of sleep duration, 43.3% of participants obtained 6-7 hours of sleep followed by 32.5% obtaining 5-6 hours, 15.7% obtaining less than 5 hours of sleep and lastly 8.5% of participants obtained more than 7 hours of sleep. This is due to the extensive study hours required to revise for classes and tests as a medical student, which results in students getting less sleep each day.

Habitual Sleep Efficiency

Table 4. Percentage of habitual sleep efficiency among preclinical students at MUCM (n = 305).

Habitual sleep efficiency					
		Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	> 85%	248	81.3	81.3	81.3
	75-84%	34	11.1	11.1	92.5
	65-74%	14	4.6	4.6	97.0
	< 65%	9	3.0	3.0	100.0
	Total	305	100.0	100.0	

Habitual sleep efficiency is the ratio between the time a person spends asleep and the total time dedicated to sleep. 81.3% of participants have a habitual sleep efficiency of more than 85%. This result of more than 85% habitual sleep efficiency shows that the majority of preclinical students at MUCM prioritize sleep and realize that a good night's sleep and having slept well are essential for maximizing learning during classes. Results also indicate a minor percentage which is 3% of participants have habitual sleep efficiency of less than 65%.

The formula used to calculate Habitual Sleep Efficiency:

$$\frac{\text{Number of hours slept}}{\text{Number of hours spent in bed}} \times 100$$

Sleep Disturbances

Table 5. Percentage of sleep disturbance among preclinical students at MUCM (n = 305).

Sleep disturbance					
		Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	28	9.2	9.2	9.2
	1-9	203	66.6	66.6	75.7
	10-18	67	22.0	22.0	97.7
	19-27	7	2.3	2.3	100.0
	Total	305	100.0	100.0	

Based on the participants of this research project, 9.2% of them have 0 sleep disturbances while 66.6% of participants have 1-9 sleep disturbances. Participants are asked if they have experienced specific issues during the past month, such as waking up in the middle of the night or early morning, having to use the bathroom during the night, feeling too hot or too cold, having bad dreams, and other disruptions. The frequency of these disturbances is rated on a scale from 0 (not during the past month) to 3 (three or more times a week). A higher score in this component indicates more frequent sleep disturbances during the past month (the maximum score for 9 factors of disturbance is 27). Upon further questioning, students who do experience sleep disturbance did mention the cause is due to their hectic schedule which affects their sleep quality. The data shows bad weather and nightmares are the most common factors which are affecting students' sleep among the participants of MUCM.

Sleeping Medication

Table 6. Percentage of sleeping medication among preclinical students at MUCM (n = 305).

Sleeping medication				
	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not during past month	297	97.4	97.4	97.4
Less than once a week	3	1.0	1.0	98.4
Once/ twice a week	2	.7	.7	99.0
3 or more times a week	3	1.0	1.0	100.0
Total	305	100.0	100.0	

Sleeping medication was not taken during the past month by 97.4% of participants which indicates that a large percentage of participants do not depend on sleeping medication to acquire good sleep whereas 1% of participants take sleeping medication less than once a week. Medical students are often vulnerable to stress and anxiety which can cause sleeping problems and burnout which leads to the usage of sleeping pills to aid sleep for a minor percentage of medical students.

Daytime Dysfunction

Table 7. Percentage of daytime dysfunction among preclinical students at MUCM (n = 305).

Daytime dysfunction				
	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
No problem et all	54	17.7	17.7	17.7
Only a very slight problem	128	42.0	42.0	59.7
Somewhat of a problem	86	28.2	28.2	87.9
A very big problem	37	12.1	12.1	100.0
Total	305	100.0	100.0	

Data obtained for this research indicates that 42% of participants have only a very slight problem whereas 12.1% of participants face a very big problem when it comes to daytime dysfunction. Students are having trouble getting things done during the day due to lack of sleep which directly impacts their performance the next day.

Happiness score

There are 29 questions for evaluating the happiness score. Based on the happiness score results, 53% of participants are just moderately happy. Following 27% of participants are not particularly happy. 19% of participants are pretty happy. 2% are somewhat happy. Hence, the happiness score of the majority of preclinical students of MUCM is just moderate.

Factors for happiness

For this section students were allowed to choose multiple options which are social activities, money, friends, food & family. Based on the results, the majority of participants, 80.7% of participants chose the family as an option out of food, friends, money & social activity. The results indicate that preclinical students at MUCM prioritize their families to a greater extent. Hence, family plays a vital role in the happiness of preclinical students of MUCM.

Multiple linear regression on happiness and subscales on sleep quality.

Table 8. Relationship between happiness (constant) and subscales on sleep quality among preclinical students at MUCM (n = 305).

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
	B	Std. Error	Beta		
Happiness	4.273	.110		38.830	.000
Subjective sleep quality	-.171	.060	-.183	-2.851	.005
Sleep latency	-.029	.025	-.073	-1.159	.247
Sleep duration	.071	.051	.085	1.403	.162
Sleep efficiency	-.050	.061	-.048	-.819	.413
Sleep disturbance	.039	.072	.033	.536	.592
Use of sleep medication	-.105	.112	-.052	-.939	.349
Daytime dysfunction	-.184	.048	-.234	-3.859	.000
Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
	B	Std. Error	Beta		
Happiness	4.273	.110		38.830	.000
Subjective sleep quality	-.171	.060	-.183	-2.851	.005
Sleep latency	-.029	.025	-.073	-1.159	.247
Sleep duration	.071	.051	.085	1.403	.162
Sleep efficiency	-.050	.061	-.048	-.819	.413
Sleep disturbance	.039	.072	.033	.536	.592
Use of sleep medication	-.105	.112	-.052	-.939	.349
Daytime dysfunction	-.184	.048	-.234	-3.859	.000

Based on the multiple linear regression, the p-value for subjective sleep quality is 0.005 and the p-value for daytime dysfunction is less than 0.001. Subjective sleep quality score and daytime dysfunction score were significant predictors of happiness levels.

DISCUSSION

The majority of students are facing sleep disturbances less than once a week according to the PSQI. Medical students' attitudes, lifestyle choices, academic load, internet usage, and potential sleep apnea can contribute to sleep disturbances [10]. The medical students remain "submerged in studies" based on their findings of longer study times, studying just before sleep, and associated anxiety about their studies and results [11]. Medical students in Pakistan have poor sleep quality which harms their academic performance [12]. Adequate sleep has a crucial role in enhancing cognitive skills especially memory retention [12]. Poor nighttime sleep quality and the consequent daytime sleepiness affect the physical and cognitive health of students and their academic performance [12]. Preclinical students in MUCM have moderately happy scores. According to Jiang et al [13], happiness levels among university students are low. Significant correlation between subjective sleep quality and daytime dysfunction with happiness. Students with good sleep led to more satisfaction with life [14]. Support from family increases the student's life satisfaction and provides additional emotional help and encouragement [15].

CONCLUSION

Therefore, our research has concluded that sleep is associated with happiness. A suggestion for medical college management is to provide activity or counselling sessions for preclinical students of MUCM to increase life satisfaction and promote a healthy lifestyle to prevent sleep problems among the students. It will also help to educate preclinical students of MUCM regarding the importance of sleep quality and happiness.

REFERENCES

1. Albakri U, Smeets N, Kant I, Meertens R. Strategies that nurses working irregular
1. night shifts use to improve sleep quality: A qualitative study among good and poor sleepers. *Journal of Advanced Nursing* 2024;80(5):2038-50.
2. Lenneis A, Das-Friebel A, Tang NK, Sanborn AN, Lemola S, Singmann H, et al. The influence of sleep on subjective well-being: An experience sampling study. *Emotion* 2024;24(2):451.
3. Merenheimo H. A study about students' sleeping habits. 2018.
4. Nakie G, Takelle GM, Rtbey G, Andualem F, Tinsae T, Kassa MA, et al. Sleep quality and associated factors among university students in Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis study. *Frontiers in Psychiatry* 2024;15:1370757.
5. Ezzati D, Abbasi NM, Namdar H, Khezerloo N, Abad THN, Shiri A, et al. The Relationship between Sleep Quality and Happiness in Men with Coronary Artery Disease. *International Journal of Cardiovascular Practice* 2019;4(4):123-7.
6. Fabbri M, Beracci A, Martoni M, Meneo D, Tonetti L, Natale V. Measuring subjective sleep quality: a review. *International journal of environmental research and public health* 2021;18(3):1082.

7. Lee S-Y, Choi J-E, Lee J-W, Lee Y, Park J-M, Hong K-W. Testing the reliability and validity of the Korean version of the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index using fitbit devices: A cross-sectional analysis. *Journal of the Korean Academy of Family Medicine* 2024.
8. Krejcie R, Morgan D. Determining sample size for research activities. *Educational And Psychological Measurement* 1970;30:607-10.
9. Schöllhorn I, Stefani O, Lucas RJ, Spitschan M, Slawik HC, Cajochen C. Melanopic irradiance defines the impact of evening display light on sleep latency, melatonin and alertness. *Communications Biology* 2023;6(1):228.
10. Azad MC, Fraser K, Rumana N, Abdullah AF, Shahana N, Hanly PJ, et al. Sleep disturbances among medical students: a global perspective. *Journal of clinical sleep medicine* 2015;11(1):69-74.
11. Preišegolavičiūtė E, Leskauskas D, Adomaitienė V. Associations of quality of sleep with lifestyle factors and profile of studies among Lithuanian students. *Medicina* 2010;46(7):482.
12. Maheshwari G, Shaukat F. Impact of poor sleep quality on the academic performance of medical students. *Cureus* 2019;11(4).
13. Jiang Y, Lu C, Chen J, Miao Y, Li Y, Deng Q. Happiness in university students: Personal, familial, and social factors: A cross-sectional questionnaire survey. *International journal of environmental research and public health* 2022;19(8):4713.
14. Shin J-e, Kim JK. How a good sleep predicts life satisfaction: The role of zero-sum beliefs about happiness. *Frontiers in psychology* 2018;9:1589.
15. Grevenstein D, Bluemke M, Schweitzer J, Aguilar-Raab C. Better family relationships--higher well-being: The connection between relationship quality and health related resources. *Mental health & prevention* 2019;14:200160.