# Socio-economic Determinants, Lifestyle Choices, and Working Conditions: A Triad's Influence on Migraine

Chin Yan Yu Michelle<sup>1</sup>, Ayswaraya Devi Ramu<sup>1</sup>, Ramanayake Pathirannehelage Sahan Nimsara Ramanayake<sup>1</sup>, Wickramarachchi Appuhamilage Nimasha Chathurangi Wickramarachchi<sup>1</sup>, Calvin Chu Jia Yung<sup>2</sup>, Htoo Htoo Kyaw Soe<sup>2</sup>, Mila Nu Nu Htay<sup>2</sup>, Nivedita Nadarajah<sup>3</sup>

Corresponding author email id: <a href="mailto:calvin.chu@manipal.edu.my">calvin.chu@manipal.edu.my</a>

Keywords: Migraine, socio-economic, lifestyle, work.

## ABSTRACT

Migraine is a neurological disorder that affects approximately 1 billion people worldwide. It is characterized by recurring attacks of moderate to severe headache, often accompanied by other symptoms such as nausea, vomiting, and sensitivity to light and sound. Given the scarcity of studies concerning the influence of lifestyle and environmental factors on migraine, we aimed to examine the links between socioeconomic factors, lifestyle, and working conditions with migraines. A cross-sectional study was carried out among adults over 18 years old in Malaysia. The survey used an online questionnaire and convenience sampling. To evaluate migraine, Structured Migraine Interview (SMI) was employed. The questionnaire also included questions about socioeconomic status, lifestyle, and working conditions. Simple and multiple logistic regression were used. The study had 514 participants. 8.4% of participants had migraines. Emotional belittlement was a significant risk factor for migraines, while socioeconomic and lifestyle factors were not significantly associated. High noise levels that required participants to raise their voice and chemicals, steams or gases exposure at work were significantly associated with a higher odd of experiencing migraines. Our study highlighted the importance of emotional well-being, and occupational exposure as determinants of migraine. More research is required to comprehend the complex interplay between these factors related to migraine and to develop improved strategies for preventing and treating the condition.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Faculty of Medicine, Manipal University College Malaysia, Melaka, Malaysia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Manipal University College Malaysia, Melaka, Malaysia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Manipal University College Malaysia, Melaka, Malaysia

## **INTRODUCTION**

Migraine is a type of headache that occurs frequently and causes intense throbbing pain on either side of the brain. It is the sixth most common disorder and ranked as the second leading cause of disability. Migraine contributes 45.1 million of Years Lived with Disability (YLDs) in 2016. Migraine symptoms include nausea, vomiting, dizziness, and sensitivity to bright lights and sounds, which can interfere with a person's ability to carry out their daily activities. The International Headache Society (IHS) has established criteria for diagnosing migraine without aura, which include headache episodes that last from 4 to 72 hours, with at least two or four of the characteristics such as pulsating pain, moderate to severe pain, headache on one side of the head, and avoidance of physical activities. Migraine may also be associated with aura, which can cause periodic neurological symptoms before or during the headache. Some individuals may experience a prodromal phase before the onset of the headache, and a postdrome phase characterized by hyperactivity, sadness, food cravings, yawning, tiredness, neck stiffness, or pain.[1,2]

The prevalence of migraine around the world was 11% but it varies significantly from one region to another and among different populations. In North America, Asia, Europe, and Australia, approximately 9% to 15% of the population suffers from migraine, whereas the prevalence is lowest in Africa, where it is around 5%.[3] Previous research from Malaysia indicates that the prevalence of migraines is 9.0% and in 2016, it was identified as the third most common contributor to Years Lived with Disability (YLDs).[1,4]

Various studies have examined the relationship between socioeconomic status and migraine. These studies have found that factors such as age, income, marital status, education, and occupation are associated with the occurrence of migraines.[5-8] A decreased likelihood of experiencing migraines was linked to a higher level of educational attainment while risk of migraines was increased among individuals who were retired and without employment.[8] The prevalence of migraines is higher among those who are lone parents, divorced, single, or have experienced belittlement or poor social support.[9] Economic hardship has also been linked to migraines, as low-income individuals have a higher likelihood of experiencing migraine.[5] Lifestyle factors such as physical activity, heavy alcohol consumption, smoking, skipping breakfast, dietary factors, and Body Mass Index (BMI) have also been studied for their association with migraines.[4,7-14] Physical and psychosocial working environment have been found to be significantly correlated with migraine.[4,15,16] Workplace factors, such as exposure to chemicals and fumes, time restrictions, and physical and mental stress, have been shown to increase the likelihood of developing migraine.[6,17]

While there have been investigations in Malaysia that have explored dietary triggers, environmental factors, and the impact on the quality of life in connection with migraines among specific populations such as bank employees, patients, and medical students, a more comprehensive understanding of how lifestyle factors, socioeconomic factors, and working conditions relate to migraine in the adult population is still.[4,7,18,19] Therefore, the purpose of this study is to ascertain the prevalence of migraine and

investigate the links between socioeconomic factors, lifestyle choices, and working conditions with migraine in the adult population of Malaysia.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

In this study, an analytical cross-sectional approach was utilized to assess both the prevalence of migraine and its influencing factors. The research spanned a six-week period from March to April 2023 and encompassed adults aged 18 years and older residing in Malaysia. To determine the sample size, OpenEpi sample size calculator (version 3) was utilized. Based on a community-based migraine prevalence rate of 9.5%,[4] with a type I error of 5% and a precision requirement of 3%, the minimum sample size was determined to be 383. To accommodate potential non-responses, we included a 30% non-response rate, leading to a final sample size of 548 participants. We utilized a non-probability convenience sampling approach and distributed an electronic survey using Google Forms. The inclusion criteria encompassed individuals who were aged 18 years or older and currently residing in Malaysia irrespective of their gender, ethnicity, or nationality. Participants were given access to information sheet in electronic document format, and each participant received an electronic informed consent form, allowing them to make an informed decision about their participation. Participation was entirely voluntary and devoid of any form of coercion or inducements. The information collected from participants was treated with strict confidentiality, exclusively for the purpose of this research analysis. Personal data of the participants would not be disclosed to any third party. Ethical approval for this research was granted by the Research Ethics Committee at Manipal University College Malaysia (MUCM) (MUCM/ Research and Ethics Committee - 009/2023).

We adapted the questionnaire used in a previous study to assess sociodemographic factors, lifestyle, and working conditions. [5] The sociodemographic profile section included variables such as country of origin, education level, age, ethnicity, living area, occupation, marital status, gender, family status, total monthly income, support during emotional crises or problems, economic problems, and emotional belittlement. The lifestyle-related questions inquired about the frequency of physical exercise during leisure time, smoking and drinking habits, breakfast-skipping tendencies, and Body Mass Index (BMI). As for working conditions, participants provided feedback on their satisfaction with their current work situation, concerns about job security, working hours, exposure to factors like noise and chemicals, involvement in heavy weightlifting, and their absenteeism frequency over the past 12 months. Total of six experts who were from internal medicine and public health departments were selected using expert sampling to review our questionnaire. Item-content validity index (ICVI) was calculated to check the content validity of the questionnaire. Notably, the ICVI value for all the factors in our study exceeded 0.78, indicating a strong level of content validity.[20] As a result, all these factors were retained in the questionnaire. Pretesting was done with five respondents to check question comprehension. Feedback from the pretest was used to make necessary revisions.

Structured Migraine Interview (SMI) was used to gather information about headache symptoms, as well as any associated symptoms such as nausea, vomiting, and hypersensitivity to light or sound [21,22]. The SMI questionnaire comprised a total of 10 items, with the initial four items designed for diagnosing migraines and the subsequent six items intended to screen for symptoms commonly linked to migraines. In our research, we categorized the participants into two groups based on the initial four questions such as those with migraine and those without migraine.

Microsoft Excel was used for data entry and the data were analysed using SPSS version 28. Data entry check was done to identify missing data during data collection. Regarding descriptive statistics, frequency and percentage were calculated for qualitative data, and mean and standard deviation were calculated for quantitative data. Simple and multiple logistic regression were used to assess the relationship between various independent variables, including sociodemographic and economic factors, lifestyle choices, working conditions, and the dependent variable, which was migraine, while accounting for covariate adjustments. P value less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. This article was written in accordance with the STROBE reporting guidelines for observational studies.[23]

## RESULTS

A total of 514 respondents participated in this study. The prevalence of migraine was 8.4% (43/514) as shown in figure 1. Table 1 shows that there were no significant association between age, gender, ethnicity, living area, country of origin, education level, occupation, marital status, family status, total monthly household income and migraine. Those who can get support during emotional crises or problems were significantly less likely to have migraine than those who do not have support. The participants who had problems in paying running bill such as utility bill, grocery bill in the past three months were significantly more likely to have migraine. There was significant association between ever been downgraded or belittled in the past three months and migraine. The odds of having migraine among those who had been downgraded or belittled several times was 5.76 times of the odds among those never been experienced. [Table 1]

Table 2 shows that there were no significant associations between exercise, smoking, habit of having breakfast and migraine. However, those who drink at least half a bottle of strong liquor month or less were significantly less likely to have migraine. [Table 2]

The association between working conditions and migraine is shown in table 3. The participants who thought the working condition was good or very good were significantly less likely to have migraine. There were no significant associations between worrying about losing job, primary working hour, and migraine. The workers who did heavy lifting over 20 kg some days a week, those who were exposed to noise every day, individuals who were exposed to chemicals, steams, or gases had significantly higher odds of having migraine. Moreover, there was significant association between absent from work several times during the last 12 months and migraine. [Table 3]

We included the significant variables and variables which had P value less than 0.025 in simple logistic regression were selected for multiple logistic regression model. Moreover, age and gender were included into the model as these factors were important predictors according to previous literatures. Firstly, we included socio-economic and demographic characteristics, and lifestyle variables as predictors in the model of all adult population (n=504). The logistic regression model was statistically significant,  $\chi^2(19) = 35.011$ , P value = 0.014. The model explained 15.6% (Nagelkerke R2) of the variance in migraine. Of the ten predictors, only two were statistically significant. Those who had been downgraded or belittled several times in the past three months were significantly more likely to have migraine. The individuals who drink strong liquor at least half a bottle monthly or less had significantly lesser odds of having migraine. [Table 4]

Secondly, we performed multiple logistic regression analysis of working adult (n=252). This analysis included socio-economic and demographic characteristics, lifestyle and working conditions as predictors. The logistic regression model was statistically significant,  $\chi^2(19) = 56.542$ , P value = 0.003. The model explained 47.2% (Nagelkerke R2) of the variance in migraine. Of the 16 predictors, five variables were statistically significant. The individuals being downgraded or belittled several times in the past three months, those who had exposure to noise every day, and the participants who had exposure to chemicals, steams or gases some days a week at work had significantly higher odds of having migraine. The participants who were satisfied of their work, and whose work included heavy lifting were less likely to have migraine.

## DISCUSSION

We conducted a cross-sectional study to assess how socioeconomic factors, lifestyle choices, and working conditions relate to the prevalence of migraines in Malaysia. Our current investigation revealed that migraine prevalence among the adult population in Malaysia was 8.4%. In a prior Malaysian study, the reported migraine prevalence was slightly higher at 9.0%.[4] Migraine prevalence worldwide was 11.6%, with variations across different regions: 10.4% in Africa, 11.4% in Europe, 9.7% in North America, and 16.4% in Central and South America.[24] In Asia, the one-year prevalence of migraines among adults ranged from 6.0% to 14.3%. The highest prevalence rates were observed in the range of 11% to 20% for adult women and 3% to 8% for adult men.[25]

In our study, variables such as age, gender, ethnicity, place of residence, country of origin, education level, occupation, marital status, family status, and income did not demonstrate a significant association with migraine. Notably, the age group between 36 to 45 years exhibited the highest migraine prevalence at approximately 11.6% in our investigation. This finding aligns with another study, which suggests that migraine prevalence generally increases until around the age of 40 and then decreases.[4,5] Among our study participants, females displayed a higher prevalence of migraine, a result consistent with prior research findings.[4,7,11,25] Interestingly, our study revealed that individuals in a relationship and those living with their families had a higher prevalence of migraine, which contrasts with a previous study indicating that divorced individuals experienced a higher frequency of migraines compared to married individuals.[5] Furthermore, while

our findings indicated that women were more likely to experience migraines than men even though this difference did not reach statistical significance, aligning with the gender disparities observed in previous studies.[4,5,7] In terms of education, our research findings indicated that individuals who had attained tertiary education or higher showed a lower prevalence of migraines when compared to those with lower levels of education. This contrasts with a prior study where individuals with a higher level of education were found to have a decreased likelihood of suffering from migraines.[8] Our study's findings mirrored those of a previous study in Norway, which associated low income with an increased risk of headaches in both genders, [26] as we found that the lowest income group had the highest migraine prevalence. Our analysis revealed a significant link between increased migraine attacks and difficulties in settling financial obligations within three months. Comparable observational studies in Malaysia and Sweden also found a notable association between economic hardship and migraines. Participants facing economic difficulties had nearly twice the migraine incidence compared to those without financial struggles. [4,5] Additionally, our findings indicated that having social support during emotional crises significantly reduces the risk of migraines, while experiencing belittlement is associated with an increased migraine risk which was aligned with the finding of prior study.[5]

This study delved into the examination of the relationship between lifestyle factors and migraines. Among the various lifestyle aspects considered, our findings suggest that infrequent consumption of strong liquor (monthly or less) is associated with a significant reduction of odds of having migraine. This observation aligns with the earlier study, which revealed a negative association between heavy alcohol use of at least once a month and migraine. [5,27] Regarding other lifestyle factors examined in our survey, including exercise, smoking, skipping breakfast, and BMI, our results did not reveal any significant associations with migraine. However, our results differ from those of population-based studies that have established associations between physical activity, body mass index, smoking, the practice of skipping breakfast, and migraine. [5,6,12,14]

Our study reveals that having a high level of satisfaction with one's working conditions significantly reduces the odds of migraines. Conversely, exposure to chemicals and noise in the workplace were found to play a significant role in increasing the likelihood of migraines. Furthermore, our findings indicated that exposure to heavy lifting every day was significantly associated with a decreased odd of migraines. Heavy lifting and manual labour often involve significant physical activity, which can promote overall health and well-being. While physical activity can sometimes act as a migraine trigger, regular exercise has been associated with a decreased risk of experiencing migraine attacks.[28] It's noteworthy that a study conducted in Sweden did not find any association between physical working conditions (such as exposure to heavy lifting, noise, and chemicals or gases), working hours, and migraines.[5] In contrast, a prior study reported that exposure to chemicals and fumes was associated with both migraines and tension-type headaches.[17] Moreover, our study did not indicate any significant associations between working hours, concerns about job security, and migraines. On the contrary, a populationbased study demonstrated a significant correlation between psychosocial working conditions and migraines. Participants who were dissatisfied with their jobs and worried about job security reported more frequent headaches than those who were content with their work and had no job security concerns.[7] These findings support the notion that stress and mental strain are frequent triggers of migraines.[17] Furthermore, a study conducted among employees in the banking sector in Malaysia showed a significant association between migraine and absenteeism which was similar to our finding.[18]

We had few limitations. We distributed the questionnaire primarily through online social media channels, which restricted our access to participants residing in rural areas. This poses limitations on the generalizability of our study's results. Future investigations should encompass a wider range of demographic groups and different workforces to gain insights into how these factors may contribute to migraines. This enhanced diversity in the participant which would improve the generalizability of the findings. Furthermore, cross-sectional studies capture data at a single point in time, which makes it difficult to establish causality. Moreover, it is crucial to explore the cultural and dietary factors as well as environmental exposures to better understand their influence on migraines. These enhancements will contribute to a more thorough exploration of migraine's multifaceted associations and facilitate broader accessibility to migraine research within Malaysia's diverse population.



# APPENDIX

Figure 1: Prevalence of migraine among Malaysian adults (n=514)

Table 1: Simple logistic regression analysis of association between socio-economic, demographic characteristics and Migraine (n = 514)

Independent variable	N (%)	Migraine		Unadjusted OR	Р
-		Ν	(%)	(95% CI)	
		Yes	No		
Age (years)					
18-25	298	24 (8.1)	274	Reference	
	(58.0)		(91.9)		
26-35	73 (14.2)	8 (11.0)	65 (89.0)	1.40 (0.60,	0.430
				3.28)	
36-45	51 (9.9)	6 (11.8)	45 (88.2)	1.52 (0.59,	0.385
				3.93)	
over 46	92 (17.9)	5 (5.4)	87 (94.6)	0.66 (0.24,	0.406
				1.77)	
Gender (n=493)					
Male	247	19 (7.7)	228	Reference	
	(48.3)		(92.3)		
Female	264	23 (8.7)	241	1.15 (0.60,	0.675
	(51.7)		(91.3)	2.16)	
Ethnicity					
Malay	63 (12.3)	5 (7.9)	58 (92.1)	Reference	
Chinese	262	13 (5.0)	249	0.60 (0.20,	0.360
	(51.0)		(95.0)	1.77)	
Indian	156	21 (13.5)	135	1.80 (0.65,	0.258
	(30.4)		(86.5)	5.02)	
Others	33 (6.4)	4 (12.1)	29 (87.9)	1.60 (0.40,	0.507
				6.41)	
Living area					
Rural area	43 (8.4)	3 (7.0)	40 (93.0)	Reference	
Semi-urban area	121	8 (6.6)	113	0.94 (0.24,	0.934
	(23.5)		(93.4)	3.73)	
Urban area	350	32 (9.1)	318	1.34 (0.39,	0.639
	(68.1)		(90.9)	4.58)	
Country of origin					
Malaysia	486	41 (8.4)	445	Reference	
	(94.6)		(91.6)		
Others	28 (5.4)	2 (7.1)	26 (92.9)	0.84 (0.19,	0.810
				3.64)	
Educational level					

# Manipal Alumni Science and Health Journal 2024;9(2): 03

Primary (Completed	84 (16.3)	11 (13.1)	73 (86.9)	Reference	
Primary School) &					
Secondary school					
Tertiary (College,	430	32 (7.4)	398	0.53 (0.26,	0.091
University, Diploma,	(83.7)		(92.6)	1.10)	
Degree, Postgraduate)					
Occupation					
Student	222	19 (8.6)	203	Reference	
	(43.2)		(91.4)		
Government employee &	191	18 (9.4)	173	1.11 (0.57,	0.760
Private employee	(37.2)		(90.6)	2.18)	
Self-employed/Own	72 (14.0)	3 (4.2)	69 (95.8)	0.47 (0.13,	0.229
account worker &				1.61)	
Employer					
Unpaid family worker, Not	29 (5.6)	3 (10.3)	26 (89.7)	1.23(0.34, 4.45)	0.749
working & Housewife					
Marital status (n=507)					
Married	168	12 (7.1)	156	Reference	
	(33.1)		(92.9)		
Single	238	17 (7.1)	221	1.00 (0.46,	0.999
	(46.9)		(92.9)	2.15)	
In relationship	93 (18.3)	10 (10.8)	83 (89.2)	1.57 (0.65,	0.318
				3.78)	
Other	8 (1.6)	2 (25)	6 (75)	4.33 (0.79,	0.092
				23.83)	
Family status (n=513)					
Living alone	104	7 (6.7)	97 (93.3)	Reference	
	(20.3)				
Living with parents +	409	36 (8.8)	373	1.34 (0.58,	0.497
partner + children +	(79.7)		(91.2)	3.01)	
extended family					
Total monthly household					
income					
B40 (RM <4360)	227	21 (9.3)	206	Reference	
	(44.2)		(90.7)		
M40 (RM 4360 - RM 9619)	177	12 (6.8)	165	0.71 (0.34,	0.370
	(34.4)		(93.2)	1.49)	
T20 (RM >9619)	110	10 (9.1)	100	0.98 (0.45,	0.962
	(21.4)		(90.9)	2.16)	

Do you have any persons					
in your surroundings you					
can get support from in					
emotional crises or					
problems?					
No	77 (15.0)	12 (15.6)	65 (84.4)	Reference	
Yes	437	31 (7.1)	406	0.41 (0.20,	0.016
	(85.0)		(92.9)	0.85)	
Have you had any					
problems in paying					
running bills in the past 3					
months? (Utility bill,					
grocery bill, etc)					
No problem	464	35 (7.5)	429	Reference	
	(90.3)		(92.5)		
1-2 months/3 months	50 (9.7)	8 (16.0)	42 (84.0)	2.34 (1.02,	0.045
				5.36)	
Have you been					
downgraded/					
belittled/loss of self-					
esteem in the past three					
months?					
Never	245	11 (4.5)	234	Reference	
	(47.7)		(95.5)		
Once or twice	208	19 (9.1)	189	2.14(0.99, 4.60)	0.052
	(40.5)		(90.9)		
Several times	61 (11.9)	13 (21.3)	48 (78.7)	5.76 (2.43,	< 0.001
				13.63)	

OR=Odds ratio; 95%CI=95% confidence interval

Independent variable	N (%)	Migraine		Unadjuste	Р
		N (	%)	d OR (95%	
		Yes	No	CI)	
How much do you					
exercise physically in					
your leisure time?					
Little Exercise (Walking,	257	23 (8.9)	234	Reference	
bicycling or other light	(50.0)		(91.1)		
activities less than 2 hours					
a week)					
Moderate Regular Exercise	184	11 (6.0)	173	0.65 (0.31,	0.252
(Exercising 1-2 times a	(35.8)		(94.0)	1.36)	
week at least for half an					
hour at a time in jogging,					
playing tennis, bicycling,					
exercising at a gym, or					
other moderate exercise					
that makes one sweat)					
Vigorous exercise and	73	9 (12.3)	64 (87.7)	1.43 (0.63,	0.391
training (Exercising or	(14.2)			3.24)	
competing at least 3 times					
a week at least for half an					
hour at a time in team					
sports, jogging, playing					
tennis, swimming, or other					
vigorous exercise)					
Do you smoke?					
Never smoke	415	32 (7.7)	383	Reference	
	(80.7)		(92.3)		
Ex-smoker	34	3 (8.8)	31 (91.2)	1.16 (0.34,	0.816
	(6.6)			3.99)	
Occasional smoker & daily	65	8 (12.3)	57 (87.7)	1.68 (0.74,	0.217
	(12.6)			3.82)	
How often do you drink					
at least half a bottle of					
strong liquor (vodka,					
whiskey, rum, gin,					

Table 2: Simple logistic regression analysis of association between lifestyle and Migraine (n = 514)

# Manipal Alumni Science and Health Journal 2024;9(2): 03

tequila, 3 Litre beer, 1					
Litre wine)?					
Never	307	29 (9.4)	278	Reference	
	(59.7)		(90.6)		
Monthly or less	161	6 (3.7)	155	0.37 (0.15,	0.031
	(31.3)		(96.3)	0.91)	
2-4 times a month, a week	46	8 (17.4)	38 (82.6)	2.02 (0.86,	0.107
& 4 or more	(8.9)			4.74)	
How often do you eat					
breakfast? (n=513)					
Seldom or never	52	5 (9.6)	47 (90.4)	Reference	
	(10.1)				
Some days a week	147	13 (8.8)	134	0.91 (0.30,	0.868
	(28.7)		(91.2)	2.69)	
Daily or almost daily	314	25 (8.0)	289	0.81 (0.30,	0.688
	(61.2)		(92.0)	2.23)	
<b>BMI (kg/m²)</b> (n=511)					
Normal weigh	287	24 (8.4)	263	Reference	
	(56.2)		(91.6)		
Underweight	50	3 (6.0)	47 (94.0)	0.70 (0.20,	0.572
	(9.8)			2.42)	
Overweight	174	15 (8.6)	159	1.03 (0.53,	0.923
	(34.1)		(91.4)	2.03)	

OR=Odds ratio; 95%CI=95% confidence interval

# Table 3: Simple logistic regression analysis of association between working conditions and Migraine (n = 262)

Independent variable	N (%)	Mig	raine	Unadjusted	Р
		Ν	(%)	OR (95%	
		Yes	No	CI)	
How satisfied are you					
with your working					
condition?					
Poor & very poor	9 (3.4)	3 (33.3)	6 (66.7)	Reference	
Neither good nor poor	54 (20.6)	5 (9.3)	49 (90.7)	0.20 (0.39, 1.07)	0.061
Good & very good	199 (76.0)	13 (6.5)	186 (93.5)	0.14 (0.31, 0.62)	0.010
How worried are you					
about losing your job					
during the next year?					
Not at all & no	209 (79.8)	16 (7.7)	193 (92.3)	Reference	
especially					
Quite worried & very	53 (20.2)	5 (9.4)	48 (90.6)	1.26 (0.44,	0.671
worried				3.60)	
What are your					
primary working					
hours? (n=261)					
Daytime	228 (87.4)	16 (7.0)	212 (93.5)	Reference	
Evening, night & shift	33 (12.6)	5 (15.2)	28 (84.8)	2.37 (0.81,	0.118
work				6.96)	
How often are you					
exposed to the					
following 3 elements					
in your work:					
My work includes					
heavy lifting (over 20					
kgj (n=260)		11 (7 2)	140 (02 7)	Deferrer co	
Never More coldore	151(58.1)	11(7.3)	140(92.7)		0 51(
	02 (23.8)	3 (4.8)	59 (95.2)	2.40)	0.516
Some days a week	29 (11.2)	6 (20.7)	23 (79.3)	3.32 (1.12, 9.86)	0.031

# Manipal Alumni Science and Health Journal 2024;9(2): 03

Every day	18 (6.9)	1 (5.6)	17 (94.4)	0.75 (0.09,	0.788
				6.17)	
I am exposed to noise					
(have to raise my					
voice when speaking					
Never	115 (43.9)	6 (5.2)	109 (94.8)	Reference	
More seldom	79 (30.2)	4 (5.1)	75 (94.9)	0.96 (0.27, 3.55)	0.962
Some days a week	37 (14.1)	4 (10.8)	33 (89.2)	2.20 (0.59, 8.28)	0.242
Every day	31 (11.8)	7 (22.6)	24 (77.4)	5.29 (1.63, 17.1)	0.005
I am exposed to					
chemicals, steams, or					
gases (n=259)					
Never	177 (68.3)	10 (5.6)	167 (94.4)	Reference	
More seldom	43 (16.6)	3 (7.0)	40 (93.0)	1.25 (0.32,	0.741
				4.76)	
Some days a week	17 (6.6)	3 (17.6)	14 (82.4)	3.57 (0.88,	0.074
				14.5)	
Every day	22 (8.5)	5 (22.7)	17 (77.3)	4.91 (1.50,	0.008
				16.05)	
Absent from work due					
to illness during the					
last 12 months					
(n=259)					
Never	120 (46.3)	6 (5.0)	114 (95.0)	Reference	
Once	92 (35.5)	8 (8.7)	84 (91.3)	1.81 (0.60,	0.289
				5.41)	
Several times	47 (18.1)	7 (14.9)	40 (85.1)	3.32 (1.05,	0.040
				10.48)	

OR=Odds ratio; 95%CI=95% confidence interval

# Table 4: Multiple logistic regression analysis of association between socio-economic, demographic characteristics, lifestyle, and Migraine (n = 504)

Variable	В	SE	Adjusted OR	Р
			(95% CI)	
Age (years)				
18-25	Reference			
26-35	0.60	0.69	1.82 (0.48, 6.99)	0.381
36-45	0.93	0.83	2.53 (0.50, 12.85)	0.263
over 46	0.003	0.86	1.00 (0.19, 5.44)	0.997
Gender				
Male	Reference			
Female	-0.17	0.38	0.85 (0.40, 1.79)	0.664
Educational level				
Primary (Completed Primary	Reference			
School) & Secondary school				
Tertiary (College, University,	-0.57	0.47	0.57 (0.23, 1.42)	0.226
Diploma, Degree,				
Postgraduate)				
Occupation				
Student	Reference			
Government employee &	-0.26	0.60	0.77 (0.24, 2.51)	0.668
Private employee				
Self-employed/Own account	-0.80	0.82	0.45 (0.09, 2.22)	0.326
worker & Employer				
Unpaid family worker, Not	0.19	0.86	1.21 (0.22, 6.59)	0.822
working & Housewife				
Marital status				
Married	Reference			
Single	0.02	0.67	1.02 (0.28, 3.75)	0.978
In relationship	0.55	0.72	1.73 (0.42, 7.11)	0.450
Other	1.60	1.03	4.93 (0.66, 37.12)	0.121
Do you have any persons in				
your surroundings you can				
get support from in				
emotional crises or				
problems?				
No	Reference			
Yes	-0.49	0.42	0.62 (0.27, 1.40)	0.249

Have you had any problems				
in paying running bills in				
the past 3 months? (Utility				
bill, grocery bill, etc)				
No problem	Reference			
1-2 months/3 months	-0.19	0.56	0.82 (0.28, 2.46)	0.729
Have you been				
downgraded/ belittled/loss				
of self-esteem in the past				
three months?				
Never	Reference			
Once or twice	0.79	0.43	2.20 (0.95, 5.09)	0.065
Several times	1.82	0.52	6.16 (2.22, 17.08)	< 0.001
Do you smoke?				
Never smoke	Reference			
Ex-smoker	0.01	0.74	1.01 (0.24, 4.33)	0.991
Occasional smoker & daily	0.20	0.55	1.22 (0.42, 3.55)	0.721
How often do you drink at				
least half a bottle of strong				
liquor (vodka, whiskey,				
rum, gin, tequila, 3 Litre				
beer, 1 Litre wine)?				
Never	Reference			
Monthly or less	-1.22	0.49	0.29 (0.11, 0.77)	0.012
2-4 times a month, a week & 4	0.48	0.57	1.61 (0.53, 4.91)	0.405
or more				

OR=Odds ratio; 95% CI=95% confidence interval

# Table 5: Multiple logistic regression analysis of association between socio-economic, demographic characteristics, lifestyle, working condition and Migraine among working adult (n=252)

Variable	В	SE	Adjusted OR	Р
			(95% CI)	
Age (years)				
18-25	Reference			
26-35	0.91	1.09	2.47 (0.29, 21.2)	0.406
36-45	2.20	1.36	9.05 (0.64,	0.104
			129.04)	
over 46	0.58	1.45	1.78 (0.10, 30.24)	0.691
Gender				
Male	Reference			
Female	-1.13	0.90	0.32 (0.06, 1.88)	0.209
Educational level				
Primary (Completed Primary	Reference			
School) & Secondary school				
Tertiary (College, University,	-0.75	0.86	0.47 (0.09, 2.51)	0.378
Diploma, Degree,				
Postgraduate)				
Occupation				
Government employee &	Reference			
Private employee				
Self-employed/Own account	-0.970	0.877	0.379 (0.07, 2.11)	0.269
worker & Employer				
Marital status				
Married	Reference			
Single	-1.54	1.146	0.21 (0.02, 2.02)	0.178
In relationship	2.09	1.308	8.08 (0.62,	0.110
			104.97)	
Other	0.99	1.730	2.71 (0.09, 80.40)	0.564
Do you have any persons in				
your surroundings you can				
get support from in				
emotional crises or				
problems?				
No	Reference			
Yes	-0.54	0.83	0.58 (0.11, 2.95)	0.513

Have you had any problems				
in paying running bills in				
the past 3 months? (Utility				
bill, grocery bill, etc)				
No problem	Reference			
1-2 months/3 months	-0.02	1.05	0.98 (0.12, 7.70)	0.982
Have you been				
downgraded/ belittled/loss				
of self-esteem in the past				
three months?				
Never	Reference			
Once or twice	0.898	0.885	2.45 (0.43, 13.91)	0.310
Several times	3.855	1.289	47.24 (3.77,	0.003
			591.27)	
Do you smoke?				
Never smoke	Reference			
Ex-smoker	-2.57	1.59	0.07 (0.00, 1.74)	0.107
Occasional smoker & daily	0.15	0.91	1.16 (0.20, 6.98)	0.867
How often do you drink at				
least half a bottle of strong				
liquor (vodka, whiskey,				
rum, gin, tequila, 3 Litre				
beer, 1 Litre wine)?				
Never	Reference			
Monthly or less	-1.23	0.93	0.29 (0.05, 1.82)	0.188
2-4 times a month, a week & 4	1.17	0.92	3.21 (0.54, 19.32)	0.202
or more				
How satisfied are you with				
your working condition?				
Poor & very poor	Reference			
Neither good nor poor	-3.01	1.60	0.05 (0.002, 1.14)	0.060
Good & very good	-2.84	1.44	0.06 (0.003, 0.99)	0.049
What are your primary				
working hours?				
Daytime	Reference			
Evening	0.42	1.01	1.52 (0.21, 10.94)	0.678
Night				
Shift Work				

How often are you exposed				
to the following 3 elements				
in your work:				
My work includes heavy				
lifting (over 20 kg)				
Never	Reference			
More seldom	-2.492	1.238	0.08 (0.01, 0.94)	0.044
Some days a week	-0.884	1.066	0.41 (0.05, 3.34)	0.407
Every day	-5.767	2.302	0.003 (0.00, 0.29)	0.012
I am exposed to noise (have				
to raise my voice when				
speaking				
Never	Reference			
More seldom	0.76	1.03	2.14 (0.28, 16.15)	0.460
Some days a week	0.63	1.22	1.87 (0.17, 20.4)	0.607
Every day	2.24	1.09	9.36 (1.11, 79.11)	0.040
I am exposed to chemicals,				
steams, or gases				
Never	Reference			
More seldom	1.22	1.10	3.40 (0.39, 29.41)	0.267
Some days a week	3.09	1.43	21.90 (1.33,	0.031
			361.87)	
Every day	1.86	1.18	6.42 (0.64, 64.98)	0.115
Absent from work due to	Reference			
illness during the last 12				
months				
Never				
Once	-0.32	0.83	0.73 (0.14, 3.74)	0.705
Several times	-0.67	1.03	0.51 (0.07, 3.86	0.515

OR=Odds ratio; 95% CI=95% confidence interval

#### CONCLUSION

This survey has assessed the relationship between socioeconomic factors, lifestyle choices, working conditions, and migraine, revealing a current migraine prevalence of 8.4% among the adult population in Malaysia. Recurrent experiences of emotional belittlement were found to have significant association with migraine. Moreover, exposure to heavy lifting, exposure to noise, and exposure to chemicals, steam, or gases were all notably associated with migraine. It is important to promote awareness about migraine and its risk factors at school, workplaces, and among the public. Encouraging lifestyle adjustments and offering assistance within school and workplace settings can potentially alleviate the frequency and intensity of migraines. Furthermore, the establishment of support communities for individuals affected by migraines can serve as a catalyst for the exchange of valuable insights, coping strategies, and the provision of emotional support.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to express our sincere appreciation to the volunteers who generously participated in our study. We would like to convey our heartfelt gratitude to Pro Vice Chancellor Professor Dr. Adinegara Lutfi Abas, Dean Professor Dr. Jayakumar Gurusamy, Professor Dr Sandheep Sugathan, Assistant Professor Dr. Mila Nu Nu Htay and Assistant Prof Dr. Thin Mon Kyaw from Department of Community Medicine, Manipal University College Malaysia for their invaluable support and guidance. Additionally, we extend our acknowledgment to the Research Ethics Committee at Manipal University College Malaysia for granting the approval for our research project.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Vos T, Abajobir AA, Abate KH, Abbafati C, Abbas KM, Abd-Allah F. et al., Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 328 diseases and injuries for 195 countries, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. The Lancet. 2017 Sep 16;390(10100):1211-59.
- 2. Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society (IHS). The International Classification of Headache Disorders. Cephalalgia. 2018;38(1):1-211.
- 3. Stovner LJ, Hagen K, Jensen R, Katsarava Z, Lipton RB, Scher AI. et al., The global burden of headache: a documentation of headache prevalence and disability worldwide. Cephalalgia. 2007 Mar;27(3):193-210.
- 4. Alders EE, Hentzen A, Tan CT. A community-based prevalence: study on headache in Malaysia. Headache: The Journal of Head and Face Pain. 1996 Jun;36(6):379-84.

- 5. Molarius A, Tegelberg Å, Öhrvik J. Socio-economic factors, lifestyle, and headache disorders—a population-based study in Sweden. Headache: The Journal of Head and Face Pain. 2008 Nov;48(10):1426-37.
- 6. Winter AC, Berger K, Buring JE, Kurth T. Body mass index, migraine, migraine frequency and migraine features in women. Cephalalgia. 2009 Feb;29(2):269-78.
- 7. Lau YH, Kurien JK, Lau KM, Adenan S. Clinical Profile of Migraine Patients: A Descriptive Study of a Single Tertiary Centre in Malaysia. SN Comprehensive Clinical Medicine. 2022 Dec;4:1-7.
- 8. Le H, Tfelt-Hansen P, Skytthe A, Kyvik KO, Olesen J. Association between migraine, lifestyle and socioeconomic factors: a population-based cross-sectional study. The journal of headache and pain. 2011 Apr;12(2):157-72.
- 9. Winter AC, Berger K, Buring JE, Kurth T. Associations of socioeconomic status with migraine and non-migraine headache. Cephalalgia. 2012 Jan;32(2):159-70.
- 10. Tai MLS, Yap JF, Goh CB. Dietary Trigger Factors of Migraine and Tension-Type Headache in a Southeast Asian Country. Journal of Pain Research. 2018 Jun;Volume 11:1255–61.
- 11. Al-kotb H, Ibrahim MH. The Effect of Lifestyle Modification Program on Reducing Migraine Disability Among Migraineurs Suffers. American Journal of Nursing. 2016;5(6):280-7.
- 12. Varkey E, Hagen K, Zwart JA, Linde M. Physical activity and headache: results from the Nord-Trøndelag Health Study (HUNT). Cephalalgia. 2008 Dec;28(12):1292-7.
- 13. López-Mesonero L, Márquez S, Parra P, Gámez-Leyva G, Munoz P, Pascual J. Smoking as a precipitating factor for migraine: a survey in medical students. The journal of headache and pain. 2009 Apr;10(2):101-3.
- 14. Yu S, Liu R, Yang X, Zhao G, Qiao X, Feng J. et al., Body mass index and migraine: a survey of the Chinese adult population. The journal of headache and pain. 2012 Oct;13:531-6.
- Antonov K, Isacson D. Headache in Sweden: the importance of working conditions. Headache: The Journal of Head and Face Pain. 1997 Apr;37(4):228-34.
- 16. Crystal SC, Robbins MS. Epidemiology of tension-type headache. Current pain and headache reports. 2010 Dec;14:449-54.
- 17. Peroutka SJ. What turns on a migraine? A systematic review of migraine precipitating factors. Current pain and headache reports. 2014 Oct;18(10):454.
- 18. Wong LP, Alias H, Bhoo-Pathy N, Chung I, Chong YC, Kalra S. et al., Impact of migraine on workplace productivity and monetary loss: a study of employees in the banking sector in Malaysia. The journal of headache and pain. 2020 Dec;21(1):1-1.
- 19. Thiagarajan A, Aziz NA, Tan CE, Muhammad NA. The profile of headaches and migraine amongst medical students and its association to stress level, disability and self-management practices. Malaysian Family Physician: the Official Journal of the Academy of Family Physicians of Malaysia. 2022 Jul 7;17(2):81.

- 20. Polit DF, Beck CT. The content validity index: are you sure you know what's being reported? Critique and recommendations. Research in nursing & health. 2006 Oct;29(5):489-97
- 21. Samaan Z, MacGregor EA, Andrew D, McGuffin P, Farmer A. Diagnosing migraine in research and clinical settings: the validation of the Structured Migraine Interview (SMI). BMC neurology. 2010 Dec;10:1-7.
- 22. Shaik MM, Hassan NB, Tan HL, Bhaskar S, Gan SH. Validity and reliability of the Malay version of the Structured Migraine Interview (SMI) Questionnaire. The journal of headache and pain. 2015 Dec;16:1-9.
- 23. Von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. The Lancet. 2007 Oct 20;370(9596):1453-7.
- 24. Woldeamanuel YW, Cowan RP. Migraine affects 1 in 10 people worldwide featuring recent rise: a systematic review and meta-analysis of community-based studies involving 6 million participants. Journal of the neurological sciences. 2017 Jan 15;372:307-15.
- 25. Takeshima T, Wan Q, Zhang Y, Komori M, Stretton S, Rajan N. et al., Prevalence, burden, and clinical management of migraine in China, Japan, and South Korea: a comprehensive review of the literature. The journal of headache and pain. 2019 Dec;20:1-5.
- 26. Hagen K, Vatten L, Stovner LJ, Zwart JA, Krokstad S, Bovim G. Low socioeconomic status is associated with an increased risk of frequent headache: a prospective study of 22,718 adults in Norway. Cephalalgia. 2002 Oct;22(8):672-9.
- 27. Aamodt AH, Stovner LJ, Hagen K, Bråthen G, Zwart J. Headache prevalence related to smoking and alcohol use. The Head-HUNT Study. European Journal of Neurology. 2006 Nov;13(11):1233–8.
- 28. Amin FM, Aristeidou S, Baraldi C, Czapinska-Ciepiela EK, Ariadni DD, Di Lenola D. et al., The association between migraine and physical exercise. The journal of headache and pain. 2018 Dec;19:1-9.